**REMARKS** 

Claims 25-49 remain in this application.

For purposes of a complete response an election has been made. However, the requirement should be withdrawn and all of the claims acted on, as the requirement to elect

species should not have been made.

The PCT Applicant's guide, volume I: section 138 reads:

"138. An international application which complies with the unity of invention

requirements laid down in Rule 13 must be accepted by all the designated and elected Offices,

since Article 27(1) does not allow any national law (as defined in Article 2(x)) to require

compliance with requirements relating to the contents of the international application different

from or additional to those provided for in the PCT."

Or in other words, as specified in MPEP 1896, Paragraph IV, "U.S. National stage

applications (which entered the national stage from international applications after compliance

with 35 USC 371) are subject to unity of invention practice in accordance with 37 CFR 1.475 and

1.499."

And further, the examiner's attention is politely requested to PCT Rule 13.1 and Article

27(1), both of which state that a designated Office shall not doubt the unity of invention if

no objections have been raised in the international phase.

Page 2 of 3

Appl. No. 10/526,308 Response dated May 19, 2006 Reply to Requirement to Elect dated April 19, 2006

Entry of this paper as satisfying the requirement to elect, reinstatement of all species, and consideration of all of the claims are courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 19, 2006

Ronald E. Greigg Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 31,517 Customer No. 02119

GREIGG & GREIGG, P.L.L.C. 1423 Powhatan Street, Suite One Alexandria, VA 22314

Tel. (703) 838-5500 Fax. (703) 838-5554

REG/SLS/ja

J:\Bosch\R304253\06-05-19, R304253, Response to Election req of April 19, 06.wpd